# **ORDER SHEET**

# WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

#### Present-

&

The Hon'ble Smt. Urmita Datta (Sen), Member (J) The Hon'ble P. Ramesh Kumar, Member (A)

### Case No <u>OA - 638 of 2018</u>

Sanchita Debnath -Vs- The State of West Bengal & Others.

Sanchita Debnath -Vs- The State of West Bengal & Others.					
Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature			
1	2	of parties when necessary 3			
	For the Applicant : Mrs. S. Agarwal,				
10 11.02.2020	Advocate.				
	For the Respondents: Mr. G.P. Banerjee, Advocate.				
	The instant application has been filed praying for a				
	direction upon the respondents to consider the case of the				
	applicant for compassionate appointment. As per the				
	applicant, her father died on 10.11.2004 and subsequently				
	she made an application on 19.12.2006 i.e. after attaining				
	her majority. Thereafter, her case was enquired by the				
	Enquiry Committee and forwarded to the concerned				
	authority on 05.10.2009. However, till date, no final				
	decision has been communicated to her. Being aggrieved				
	with, she has filed the instant application. Though no reply				
	has been filed, however, the counsel for the respondent has				
	submitted that the O.A. is not maintainability as the word				
	'Ration Karmee' is not a regular government employee.				
	Moreover, the applicant was minor at the time of death of				
	her father and the application was also beyond two years				
	time. However, during the course of the hearing, the				
	counsel for the applicant has fairly submitted that it would				
	suffice her purpose if the applicant would be directed to take				
	final decision with regard to her case and communicate				
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			

# **ORDER SHEET**

DEIN GITTEL

Form No.	
i Oilli NO.	***************************************

Vs. The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessar
1		3
	within a stipulated period of time. The counsel for the	
	respondent has no objection to such proposition.	
	Accordingly, the respondent no. 1 is directed to take final	
	decision with regard to the case of the applicant as per Rules	
	and Scheme of the department and communicate the same by	
	way of reasoned and speaking order within a period of	
	twelve (12) weeks from the date of the receipt of the order	
	granting her opportunity of the hearing as no decision has	
	been communicated since 2009. Accordingly, the O.A. is	
	disposed of.	
A.K.P.	P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER (A) MEMBER (J)	